

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/881,463	06/14/2001	Michael Allen Blanchfield	17637 (MHM 13070US01)	7525	
	08/21/2003	•			
Tyco Electronics Corporation			EXAMINER		
Suite 450 4550 New Linden Hill Road			NGUYEN, SON V		
Wilmington, L	DE 19808-2952		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/881,463**

Applicant(s)

Blanchfield et al.

Examiner

Son Nguyen

Art Unit **2839**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
Period	for Reply			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	
	sions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a r	eply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
- If the	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th			
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the			
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of top attend term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFN 1.704(b).			
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa			
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u>		is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from considerati	on.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u>		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are sub	ject to restriction and/or election requireme	ent.
Applica	ition Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or	b) Objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) [\square approved b) \square disapproved by the Exam	niner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in	Application No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority deposition from the International Bure 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e).	
a) L				
15)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U	J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachm		4) [] ((PTO 412) Pro No/-)	
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2 & 3	6) Other:	atout Application (F10-132)	
~. A√i ıııı	omission Discious exercitoristist is 10-1770) rapor roles	-, <u></u> -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,		

Application/Control Number: 09/881,463 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 7-15, 19-28 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Clark et al. (US 6,319,075).

Clark et al. discloses a multi-beam power contact [figures 1-2] comprising:

- a main body having a connector interface edge and a mounting edge;
- the contact comprises two pairs of beams [18, 20] reads on applicant's a plurality of at least three beams extending from the connector interface edge of the main body;
 - the beams comprises contact areas [26];
- at least two beams have different normal forces due the different sizes of the beams [figure 1];
 - the beam are formed integral with the main body;

Application/Control Number: 09/881,463 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

- the beams are aligned in a common plane and separated by a slot [figures 1-2] and have different widths at a point of intersection with the connector interface edge;

- at least one beam has a length greater than a length of an adjacent beam; and
- a beam closet to the mounting edge is longer than any other beam.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark et al. in view of Weber (4,818,237).

Clark et al. discloses the instant claimed invention as described above except for the contact comprises at least eight beams. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the contact with at least eight beams in order to handle a larger current flows through, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Application/Control Number: 09/881,463

Art Unit: 2839

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 33-37 are allowed.

6. Claims 4-6, 16-18 and 29-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The

prior art of record does not teach or render obvious the combination, cooperation and interaction

that applicant claimed a power contact having at least one of beam pairs being an initial contact

beam pair and at least one of the beams pairs being a non-initial contact beam pair, as recited with

other elements in the claims 1, 13, 25 and 33.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Son Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8745.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reach on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone number for this Group is

(703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

sn

August 9, 2003

SON V. NGUYEN PATENT EXAMINER Page 4

teriore de la companya della companya de la company